Case 2:22-cr-00245-WBS Document 24 Filed 02/28/23 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ROSANNE L. RUST MICHAEL D. ANDERSON Assistant United States Attorneys 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		Mer of Call ordan
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-245 WBS
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER
13	v.	
14	BRENDA VILLA,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status hearing on February 27, 2023, at 9:00	
21	a.m. The parties appeared on calendar for that status hearing. During the hearing, the Court ordered that	
22	the parties submit a written order regarding the excludable time sought.	
23	2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the status conference until April	
24	10, 2023 at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between February 27, 2023, and April 10, 2023, under Local	
25	Code T4.	
26	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:	
27	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case	
28	includes over 10,000 pages, including investigative reports, business records, medical records	

and other materials, in addition to audio files. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the current charges, to conduct investigation and research related to the charges, and to review the current charges and discovery with the defendant.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 27, 2023 to April 10, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

 $||_{\mathbf{D}_{\epsilon}}$

Dated: February 27, 2023

26

27

28

ROSANNE L. RUST Assistant United States Attorney

PHILLIP A. TALBERT

/s/ ROSANNE L. RUST

United States Attorney

Dated: February 27, 2023

/s/ ERIC HINTZ

Case 2:22-cr-00245-WBS Document 24 Filed 02/28/23 Page 3 of 3

ERIC HINTZ Counsel for Defendant BRENDA VILLA **ORDER** IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED. Va Shubt Dated: February 28, 2023 WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE